14 Sclober 1761

MEMORANDUM FOR I Colonel Johnson

YLA

-- i Mr. Ellio

SUBJECT .

1 Osidones ret Dr. Mire CARDONA

PRFERENCE

1 Your hismorandum for the Record of 16 October 1961.

- 1. In your discussion with State and MIRO it might be wise, for executity reasons, to assume they have little specific knowledge of our operations and correctly of specific individuals who have some someotion with C.L.A. For example, when they speak of Conta Agreero, it has gone too for for as to dany any relationship, but we should firmly basist C.L.A. is not directly in touch with Conta.
- 2. Realists the difficult handling problem yes have, I am petting down my een reactions to some of the parts in your memo, which may, I hope, he of some assistance.
- 3. Regarding your paregraph 2, them a, I do not believe we should, for occurrity reasons alone; reveal to hire or State our operations in this area. Much of the time when they raise is sugar like this they are simply goessing and finding. Frequently their grantee on our ansols are very erements. Usually, their foreys esta ba commissed by eaving no agree that the propagacia 'lines' should be discussed or they should tell us wind they think the 'line' should be and we will comment if we disagree. In short, we are willing to cometly try to support them, but we are not obligated to reveal to State or MIRO our specific operations and personnel which should be to a normal situation clarges line. Accordingly, I would seggest that you encourage the URC toward directing same of the million deliars the 7 bits licers pro-niced them into terming a functioning propagable commission and suggest they then tell as what they are doing and plan to do, so that I' we have assets and approvals from our people we will be in a position to coordinate our e lorta with thoirs.
- 4. Regarding your paragraph 1, b, those seem in general sums enough. Tall him to heap so advised as to what he proposes to do with his tends in this limit. Surely be tested, so he is giving as corders as to what we should do here in our country.

- 5. Regarding your paragraph 2, c. you may wish to remind him of his conversation with CL MK and HANLEY in Saltimore where this was discussed. You may tell him that we are as agreed endeavoring to provide funds to the internal groups which he indicated should be supported. Naturally the means are secret. If he has a particular supported. Naturally the means are secret. If he has a particular leader or group incide he wants us to try, to support he should tell us. We could suggest some of his million dollars could be utilised by him also for this purpose. As to commands action, the State Department, which sets policy, has not authorized any commands operations of any him and we are accordingly not in a position to organize or support any for the present.
- 5. Regarding your paragraph 3 on Commande Action, this plan is not yet in Hondquarters; however, we have no policy authorisation from State for each as operation.
- 7. Regarding your item 6, you will recall and he will recall, he took this up with GOOD IN and then with RUE's ITZ and then with CLARK and HANLEY. He was unable then to say exactly how or even if he could develop a commo line to Ramiros much less how he would get arms to RANIREZ, or anybody size by sir, and in either boat or sir operations there would have to be some assurance that he had the capability to arrange a reception party in Cuba for the arms, assuring we had the policy authorization to support this kind of operation. You should tall him that as explained by HANLEY to him, it is not enough for him to just say he would like to support a given guarilla group. He will have to have some capability for doing it before we can support him, assuming we can get policy approval for same.
- 3. Regarding your item 6, although we sympathize with his view, as Mr. HURWITZ and Mr. COERR, as well as hir. GOODWIM, have teld him, the U.S. Government has not and does not plan to commit itself to him on the question of secaling U.S. troops to Cuba.
- 9. With regard to your item 7, I sould suggest you remind him he agreed in his conversation with HANLEY and C. this that he sould arrange an amicable taxedver of the jurists which he admittedly has not done. We still hape he can exert enough influence over his people to keep the mess out of the press.

- 13. With regard to your them is, we too would have to see here and some leadership and induce these groups to note with him in one way or another, but do not agree with him that is the long run much can be accomplished by just saying I correct the money, and I am Till channel to the U.S. Government. He most keep in which that there are in existence lots of channels to the U.S. Covernment and by that we do not mean C.I.A.
- II. Regarding your item II. you probably about i emphasize to him again that he has taken over the FEO budget and personnel and it is up to him to get them organized and doing something other than traving relief checks.
- 12. Regarding your item 14, Languest you continue your contilection line with MIRO, pointing out these groups are not under complete control of C.L.A., that they is many things on their own, that we merely support them from time to time, sessily indirectly. Accordingly, we are not be a position to turn them over to him, even if we marted to, which we at this point, are not sure they would agree to in any case. Its bill simply have to get into some sorbing relationship with them, using his million deliars or isoderable, preferably the latter.

R. E. WHEDBER DO/WR/4

Distributions

Cris & 1 - Col. Johnson

I - Mr. Ruis

1 - DC/NH/4

1 - C/WH/4

1 - C/WH/4/Plans